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Definition: A set of lines passing through the origin is called equiangular, if every pair of lines make the same angle.

Not an example!


Question: What is the maximum number of equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ ?

Earliest work:
Haantjes, Seidel 47-48
Blumenthal 49
For $d=2,3$ Greeks?
Van Lint, Seidel 66
Lemmens, Seidel 73
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In general, d-simplex gives $d+1$ lines:
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Definition: Orthonormal bases $B, B^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ are called mutually unbiased if

$$
x \cdot y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \quad \forall x \in B, y \in B^{\prime}
$$

Definition: Orthonormal bases $B, B^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ are called mutually unbiased if

$$
x \cdot y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \quad \forall x \in B, y \in B^{\prime}
$$

Theorem (Cameron, Seidel 73 / Calderbank, Cameron, Kantor, Seidel 97): There exist $d / 2+1$ pairwise mutually unbiased bases in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, when $d=4^{k}$.

Definition: Orthonormal bases $B, B^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ are called mutually unbiased if

$$
x \cdot y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \quad \forall x \in B, y \in B^{\prime}
$$

Theorem (Cameron, Seidel 73 / Calderbank, Cameron, Kantor, Seidel 97): There exist $d / 2+1$ pairwise mutually unbiased bases in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, when $d=4^{k}$.

Theorem (de Caen 2000 / Jedwab, Wiebe 2015 / Greaves, Koolen, Munemasa, Szöllősi 2015): There exist $\Omega\left(d^{2}\right)$ equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ for an infinite number of $d$.

Definition: Orthonormal bases $B, B^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ are called mutually unbiased if

$$
x \cdot y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \quad \forall x \in B, y \in B^{\prime}
$$

Theorem (Cameron, Seidel 73 / Calderbank, Cameron, Kantor, Seidel 97): There exist $d / 2+1$ pairwise mutually unbiased bases in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, when $d=4^{k}$.

Theorem (de Caen 2000 / Jedwab, Wiebe 2015 / Greaves, Koolen, Munemasa, Szöllősi 2015): There exist $\Omega\left(d^{2}\right)$ equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ for an infinite number of $d$.

Remark: These constructions all have an angle of $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Definition: Orthonormal bases $B, B^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ are called mutually unbiased if

$$
x \cdot y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \quad \forall x \in B, y \in B^{\prime}
$$

Theorem (Cameron, Seidel 73 / Calderbank, Cameron, Kantor, Seidel 97): There exist $d / 2+1$ pairwise mutually unbiased bases in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, when $d=4^{k}$.

Theorem (de Caen 2000 / Jedwab, Wiebe 2015 / Greaves, Koolen, Munemasa, Szöllősi 2015): There exist $\Omega\left(d^{2}\right)$ equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ for an infinite number of $d$.

Remark: These constructions all have an angle of $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
Question: What if the angle is fixed, i.e. doesn't go to zero with $d$ ?
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$$
2 d-2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\begin{array}{|cc|}
\hline 1 & -1 / 3 \\
-1 / 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array} & 1 / 3 \\
& \ddots \\
\hline \begin{array}{cc}
1 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\
-1 / 3 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Construction of $2 d-2$ lines with $\alpha=1 / 3$

Definition: For any vectors $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the Gramian matrix $A$ is defined by $A_{i, j}=x_{i} \cdot x_{j}$.

It is $n \times n$, symmetric, positive semidefinite and has rank at most $d$.

Actually, these conditions are also sufficient, so...
$\left.\begin{array}{c|cc}\begin{array}{c}\text { eigenvalue } \\ \frac{2}{3}(d-1)\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { multiplicity } \\ 3\end{array} & 1 \\ 4 / 3 & d-1 & 2 d-2\left(\begin{array}{cc}\begin{array}{|cc|}\hline 1 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 / 3 & 1 \\ \hline\end{array} & \\ 0 & \\ 0 & d-2\end{array}\right. \\ & & \\ & & \begin{array}{|cc}1 / 3 & -1 / 3 \\ -1 / 3 & 1 \\ \hline\end{array}\end{array}\right)$
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Proof: $0 \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq n-n(n-1) \beta$.
So our graph has no blue clique of size larger than $1 / \alpha+1$.
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Strategy: Try to bound the remaining vertices based on how they connect to $Y$.


Strategy: Try to bound the remaining vertices based on how they connect to $Y$.

Definition: For any $T \subseteq Y$, define $S_{T}$ to be those $x \in G \backslash Y$ such that $\{x, y\}$ is red for all $y \in T$, and blue for all $y \in Y \backslash T$.


Strategy: Try to bound the remaining vertices based on how they connect to $Y$.

Definition: For any $T \subseteq Y$, define $S_{T}$ to be those $x \in G \backslash Y$ such that $\{x, y\}$ is red for all $y \in T$, and blue for all $y \in Y \backslash T$.

If $x \in G \backslash Y$ has more blue than red edges to $Y$, then negate $x$.


Strategy: Try to bound the remaining vertices based on how they connect to $Y$.

Definition: For any $T \subseteq Y$, define $S_{T}$ to be those $x \in G \backslash Y$ such that $\{x, y\}$ is red for all $y \in T$, and blue for all $y \in Y \backslash T$.

If $x \in G \backslash Y$ has more blue than red edges to $Y$, then negate $x$.
The edge colors flip so $-x$ has more red than blue edges to $Y$.


Strategy: Try to bound the remaining vertices based on how they connect to $Y$.

Definition: For any $T \subseteq Y$, define $S_{T}$ to be those $x \in G \backslash Y$ such that $\{x, y\}$ is red for all $y \in T$, and blue for all $y \in Y \backslash T$.

If $x \in G \backslash Y$ has more blue than red edges to $Y$, then negate $x$.
The edge colors flip so $-x$ has more red than blue edges to $Y$.

This makes $S_{T}=\emptyset$ for all $|T|<|Y| / 2$. Otherwise we have $|T| \geq|Y| / 2 \rightarrow \infty$.

## Orthogonal Projection

Lemma 2: If $T$ is a red clique with $|T| \rightarrow \infty$ and $X, z$ are such that all edges from $T$ to $X \cup\{z\}$ are red and all edges from $z$ to $X$ are blue, then
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Lemma 2: If $T$ is a red clique with $|T| \rightarrow \infty$ and $X, z$ are such that all edges from $T$ to $X \cup\{z\}$ are red and all edges from $z$ to $X$ are blue, then

$$
|X| \leq \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}+o(1) \text { where } \beta:=\frac{2 \alpha}{1-\alpha}
$$



Proof: Project $X$ onto the orthogonal complement of the span of $T \cup\{z\}$ and normalize.
Then all inner products become at most $\frac{-\beta^{2}}{1-\beta^{2}}+o(1)$, so by
Lemma 1

$$
|X| \leq \frac{1-\beta^{2}}{\beta^{2}}+o(1)+1=\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}+o(1)
$$
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Suppose $|Y| / 2 \leq|T|<|Y|$.
Choose some $z \in Y \backslash T$, and apply Lemma 2 to $T, S_{T}, z$, to conclude that $\left|S_{T}\right| \leq 1 / \beta^{2}+o(1)$.
Thus we have that
$\sum_{|T|<Y}\left|S_{T}\right| \leq 2^{|Y|}\left(1 / \beta^{2}+o(1)\right)$
 which we can make $o(d)$, by having $|Y| \rightarrow \infty$ slowly enough.

So it remains to bound $S_{Y}$.
For any $x \in S_{Y}$, if we apply Lemma 2 to $Y, x$ and the blue neighborhood of $x$, we see that the blue degree of $x$ is at most $1 / \beta^{2}+o(1)$.
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\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
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& & & 1
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Now project $S_{Y}$ onto the orthogonal complement of $Y$. Then for any red edge, the inner product becomes $\varepsilon=o(1)$ and for any blue edge it becomes $-\beta+o(1)$.

## So the Gramian $A$ of these vectors looks like

Every row has at most
$1 / \beta^{2}+o(1)$ entries
that are $-\beta+o(1)$.$\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & & & \\ & 1 & \varepsilon,-\beta+o(1) \\ & 1 & \ddots & \\ \varepsilon,-\beta+o(1) & & \\ & & & 1\end{array}\right) \begin{gathered}\text { rank at most } d \\ \text { dimension } m=\left|S_{Y}\right|\end{gathered}$
Thus if $J$ is the all 1 matrix, then $M=A-\varepsilon J$ looks like
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& 1-o(1) & 0,-\beta+o(1) \\
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& & & 1-o(1)
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Now project $S_{Y}$ onto the orthogonal complement of $Y$. Then for any red edge, the inner product becomes $\varepsilon=o(1)$ and for any blue edge it becomes $-\beta+o(1)$.

## So the Gramian $A$ of these vectors looks like

Every row has at most
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Thus if $J$ is the all 1 matrix, then $M=A-\varepsilon J$ looks like
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\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1-o(1) & & & \\
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and the rank $r$ of $M$ is at most $\operatorname{rk}(A)+\operatorname{rk}(-\epsilon J) \leq d+1$.
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$$
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$$

Proof: $\operatorname{tr}(M)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(M^{2}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}^{2}$ where $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ are the nonzero eigenvalues of $M$, so the result follows by Cauchy-Schwarz.

Now we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}(M)=m(1-o(1)) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(M^{2}\right)=\sum_{i, j}\left(M_{i, j}\right)^{2} & \leq m\left(1+\left(\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}+o(1)\right)(-\beta+o(1))^{2}\right) \\
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\text { Theorem } \\
\text { Complete }!
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Done!

